



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,136	04/24/2001	Jeffrey Douglas Haggar	RSW920010029US1	6044
7:	590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Jerry W. Herndon			PEARSON, YVETTE B	
IBM Corporation T81/503 P.O. Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2144	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	I A li Aion No	Annlicent(s)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	WIX				
	09/841,136	HAGGAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yvette Pearson	2144	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nication. d days, a reply within the statutory minimu utory period will apply and will expire SIX rill, by statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed Im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this concept the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 24 April 2001.						
•							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from considerati	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
Applicant may not request that any objec		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	·	= : :					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been receive documents have been receive of the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)).	∣ Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Information Date	TO-948) Page 15 Page 15	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PTo ther:	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/841,136

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 are presented for examination in the application.
 Acknowledgement is made of Information Disclosure document filed April 24,
 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hemmady et al. (US 4,872,159).
- 4. As per Claims 1, 5, 8, and 11 Hemmady teaches a method of rapid response for data networking (data transfer) on ports associated with a user to an authorized destination in the same group (virtual network) [Column 2, Lines 31-34], comprising: a) Hub(s) that include a plurality of data switching modules followed by a stage of circuit switching (network addressing) (Column 2, Line 41), b) buffering of network transactions (Column 9, Line 20), c) utilizing header information generated by internal link handlers to move data; (Fig 10) and d) allows data packets destined for a common

Art Unit: 2144

output to be chained so that they may be all transmitted together. (Column 7, Lines 54-57.)

Thus Hemmady discloses all limitations of the rejected claim and therefore anticipates the claims subject matter of claims 1, 5, 8 and 11.

5. As per Claims 2, 3 and 9, Hemmady teaches a user interface module that serves as a direct memory access port and a buffer for transactions received (Column 6, Lines 29-33). Hemmady further teaches a method of storing information moving from the Network Interface Module to the Memory and Interface Module (MINT) whereby the header information is copied by the external link handlers and sent to the MINT control for processing (Column 9, Lines 5-6).

Thus Hemmady discloses all limitations of the rejected claim and therefore anticipates the claims subject matter of claims 2, 3 and 9.

6. As per Claims 6 and 12, Hemmady teaches a systems whereby every network transaction maintains a header with a source port number to the Network Interface Module (Figure 20) that uses this number to complete the routing to the destination end user (Column 16, lines 43-54).

Thus Hemmady discloses all limitations of the rejected claim and therefore anticipates the claims subject matter of claims 6 and 12.

7. As per Claims 7 and 13, Hemmady teaches a systems, whereby the buffer

Application/Control Number: 09/841,136

Art Unit: 2144

memory of the MINT provides efficient streaming of data to and from the link handlers (Fig 11). The internal link handler maintains a register containing the next address and count that allows a series of blocks to be read from memory in a continuous stream (Column 32, Lines 1-6).

Thus Hemmady discloses all limitations of the rejected claim and therefore anticipates the claims subject matter of claims 7 and 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hemmandy (US 4872159).

With respect to Claims 14-19, Haggar discloses the invention as claimed, detailed above with respect to Claims 1, 5, 8 and 11; however, Haggar does not particularly disclose a computer program product on one or more computer readable media as being claimed in Claims 1, 5, 8 and 11. However, one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/841,136

Art Unit: 2144

art would have recognized that computer readable media (i.e. floppy, cd-rom, etc.) would provide as a computer program product for implementing a method, because it would facilitate the transporting and installing of the method on a system. For example, a copy of the Microsoft Windows operating system can be found on a CD-ROM from which Windows can be installed onto other systems, which is more practical than a physical cable connection or manually entering the software. Therefore, it would have been obvious to put Haggar's program on a computer readable media to facilitate transporting, installing and implementing Haggar's program on other systems.

10. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hemmandy (US 4872159) in view of Munter (US 6,141,738).

With respect to Claims 4 and 10, Hammady et al, teaches a system of rapid response for data networking (data transfer) on ports associated with a user to an authorized destination in the same group (virtual network) [Col 2, Line 31-35], but fails to specifically teach a virtual network defined by a plurality of logical partitions within a single computing device. However, Munter discloses a similar address translation method utilizing a forward table data structure (Figs 3A – 3L) and a memory optimization process, which are essentially customized to hardware [to provide a data structure and an address translation method that improves translation/routing performance in a data communications system (Col 3, Line 46)].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant given their previous use in data communications, the advantage of improvement of virtual networking having the teachings of Hemmandy and Munter before one. The combination would teach a system of efficient data communications in a network that services a wide range of distributed users, whereby data packet forwarding rates are one of three main factors that contribute to the speed of traffic over the internet as taught by Munter (Col 1, Lines 17 – 24).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5,522,045, (Sandberg) discloses a method of managing virtual memory among interconnected computer nodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvette Pearson whose telephone number is 571-272-4227. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Cuchlinski can be reached on 571-272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvette Pearson

Examiner

Art Unit 2144

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2/600